Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
PENDLETON C. WAUGH, CHARLES M. AUSTIN, and JAY R. BISHOP) EB Docket No. 07-147
PREFERRED COMMUNICATION) File No. EB-06-IH-2112
SYSTEMS, INC.) NAL/Acet. No. 200732080025
Licensee of Various Site-by-Site Licenses in the Specialized Mobile Radio Service.) FRN No. 0003769049
PREFERRED ACQUISITIONS, INC.) FRN No. 0003786183
Licensee of Various Economic Area)
Licenses in the 800 MHz Specialized)
Mobile Radio Service)

To the Honorable Judge Arthur I. Steinberg:

RESPONSE BY JAY R. BISHOP TO THE ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSION OF FACTS AND GENUINENESS OF DOCUMENTS

Jay R. Bishop ("Bishop") hereby responds to the "Enforcement Bureau's Request for Admission of Facts and Genuineness of Documents to Jay R. Bishop," filed in the above captioned proceeding on September 5, 2007 (hereinafter, "Request"). By responding, Bishop does not admit to the relevance of any matter which is the subject of the Request, and reserves all rights to object to the admission of any particular item into evidence.

Admissions

- 1. Bishop admits to the truth of this statement.
- 2. Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding this matter.

No. of Copies List ABCDE	rec'd_	043
-----------------------------	--------	-----

- 3. Bishop admits only to knowing that Mr. Waugh consulted for PCSI from time to time during the period beginning 1998 through the middle of 2001. Bishop's relationship as consultant to PCSI ended in May of 2001, and therefore he has no knowledge as to the extent of Mr. Waugh's involvement with PCSI past that time.
- 4. Bishop does not have any firsthand knowledge regarding this matter.
- 5. Bishop does not have any firsthand knowledge regarding this matter.
- 6. Bishop does not have any firsthand knowledge regarding this matter.
- Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement. Bishop has never held shares of PCSI stock.
- 8. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement. Bishop has never held shares of PCSI stock.
- Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement. Bishop has never held shares of PCSI stock.
- 10. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement. Bishop has never held shares of PCSI stock through a trust or otherwise.
- 11. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 12. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 13. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 14. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 15. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 16. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 17. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 18. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.

- 19. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 20. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement; the statement is inaccurate. Continental Wireless Cable Television, Inc. was placed into involuntary receivership in July of 1995, which was during the course of the SEC's enforcement action.
- 21. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement; the statement is inaccurate.

 The conviction occurred in November of 2000.
- 22. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 23. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 24. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 25. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 26. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement. Bishop was a member of the "Core Group" at PCSI, but not a founder.
- 27. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 28. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 29. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 30. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 31. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 32. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 33. Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 34. Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment A.

 Therefore, although Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.

- 35. Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment A.

 Therefore, although Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 36. Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment A. Therefore, although Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 37. Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment A.

 Therefore, although Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 38. Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment A.

 Therefore, although Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 39. Aside from the Affidavit submitted by Michelle Bishop, Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment B. Therefore, although Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 40. Aside from the Affidavit submitted by Michelle Bishop, Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment B. Therefore, although Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 41. Aside from the Affidavit submitted by Michelle Bishop, Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment B. Therefore, although

- Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 42. Aside from the Affidavit submitted by Michelle Bishop, Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment B. Therefore, although Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 43. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 44. Aside from the Affidavit submitted by Michelle Bishop, Bishop does not have firsthand knowledge regarding the contents of Attachment B. Therefore, although Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 45. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 46. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 47. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 48. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.

- 49. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 50. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 51. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 52. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 53. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 54. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.

- 56. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 58. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 59. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 60. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 62. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.

- 63. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 64. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 65. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 66. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 67. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 68. Bishop does admit to the truth of this statement.
- 69. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.
- 70. Bishop did not file Applications with the FCC on behalf of PAI. Therefore, while Bishop does not deny this statement may well be true, Bishop does not admit to the truth of this statement.

The undersigned hereby declares under penalty of perjury that the foregoing responses are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Respectfully submitted,

JAY R. BISHOP

October 15, 2007

AY R. BISHOP

1190 South Farrell Drive Palm Springs, CA 92264 (760) 992-3302 (telephone & fax) jaybishopps@aol.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, certify that I am a resident of the County of Riverside, State of California, over the age of eighteen years and on this 15th day of October, 2007, sent by electronic mail and first class United States mail copies of the foregoing "Response by Jay R. Bishop to the Enforcement Bureau's Request for Admission of Facts and Genuineness of Documents" to:

Charles M. Austin, President
Preferred Communications Systems, Inc.
400 East Royal Lane, Suite N24
Irving, TX 75039
precomsys@aol.com

Preferred Communication Systems, Inc. 400 East Royal Lane, Suite N24 Irving, TX 75039 precomsys@aol.com

Preferred Acquisitions, Inc. 400 East Royal Lane, Suite N24 Irving, TX 75039

Pendleton C. Waugh 9150 East Del Camino, Suite 114 Scottsdale, AZ 85258 pwaugh9999@aol.com

David J. Kaufman, Esq.
Brown Nietert Kaufman, Chartered
1301 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 450
Washington, DC 20036
david@bnkcomlaw.com

Law Offices of Robert J. Keller, PC PO Box 33428 - Farragut Station Washington, DC 20033-3428 rjk@telcomlaw.com

William D. Silva, Esq. 5335 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20015 bill@luselaw.com

Honorable Arthur I. Steinberg Administrative Law Judge Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1-C861 Washington, D.C. 20554 arthur.steinberg@fcc.gov

Gary Oshinsky, Esq.
Investigations & Hearings Division
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-C330
Washington, D.C. 20554
gary.oshinsky@fcc.gov

Anjali Singh, Esq.
Investigations & Hearings Division
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-C330
Washington, D.C. 20554
anjali.singh@fcc.gov

By:

Michelle Bishop